

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patern and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bo 1430 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	_				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,975	01/16/2001	Roy Frank Brabson	5577-217/RSW920000061US3 4362		
58505 75	590 05/17/2006		EXAMINER		
STEVENS & SHOWALTER, L.L.P.			HU, JINSONG		
BOX IBM					
7019 CORPORATE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45459-4238			2154		
•			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/760,975	BRABSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jinsong Hu	2154		

	Jinsong Hu	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>4/28/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecance			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		12 5010117,				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an ε	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a Ni d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a l).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	if of the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attact	ieu.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. 🗌 Other: FOLLANSBEE						
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
OUPDAVIOURI FAIENT LAMINULIT						
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 09/760,975

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations in claims 1, 23 and 32-35, inter alias, "[the transaction service level information is provide separate from] content data" require further consideration and new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument is not persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.